

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|---------------------------------|-----------------|----------------------|--------------------------|------------------|
| 10/771,644 | 02/04/2004 | Curtis W. Brown | BRWN:001US | 3713 |
| 32425 | 7590 03/09/2005 | | EXAM | INER |
| FULBRIGHT & JAWORSKI L.L.P. | | | SOTELO, JESUS D | |
| 600 CONGRESS AVE. SUITE 2400 | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| AUSTIN, TX | 78701 | | 3617 | |
| | | | DATE MAII ED: 03/00/2004 | - |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | |
|---|--|---|--|--|--|--|
| Office Action Summary | | 10/771,644 | BROWN, CURTIS W. | | | |
| | | Examiner | Art Unit | | | |
| | | Jesús D. Sotelo | 3617 | | | |
| Period fo | The MAILING DATE of this communicator Reply | tion appears on the cover sheet w | ith the correspondence address | | | |
| THE - Exte after - If the - If NC - Failu Any | ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICA nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 30 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communical period for reply specified above, the maximum statutoure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, reply received by the Office later than three months after led patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | TION. 7 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a setion. ays, a reply within the statutory minimum of thir yr period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON by statute, cause the application to become Al | reply be timely filed ty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). | | | |
| Status | | | | | | |
| 1) | Responsive to communication(s) filed of | on . | | | | |
| 2a)□ | • • | ☐ This action is non-final. | | | | |
| 3)□ | ·— | | | | | |
| Disposit | ion of Claims | | | | | |
| 5)□ 6)⊠ | Claim(s) 1-54 is/are pending in the app 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are value claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1-31,39,43,44 and 50 is/are reclaim(s) 32-38,40-42,45-49 and 51-54 Claim(s) are subject to restriction | withdrawn from consideration. ejected. is/are objected to. | | | | |
| Applicat | ion Papers | | | | | |
| 10)⊠ | The specification is objected to by the E The drawing(s) filed on <u>04 February 200</u> Applicant may not request that any objectio Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the The oath or declaration is objected to by | 24 is/are: a) \square accepted or b) \square n to the drawing(s) be held in abeyand correction is required if the drawing | nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). g(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). | | | |
| Priority (| under 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | | | | |
| a)i | Acknowledgment is made of a claim for All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority doc 2. Certified copies of the priority doc 3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International See the attached detailed Office action for | cuments have been received. cuments have been received in A he priority documents have beer Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). | Application No received in this National Stage | | | |
| 2) Notice 3) Inform | et(s) See of References Cited (PTO-892) See of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO- mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO- Per No(s)/Mail Date 5/06/04. | .948) Paper No(| Summary (PTO-413) s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) | | | |

Application/Control Number: 10/771,644

Art Unit: 3617

DETAILED ACTION

1. Claims 1-54 are in the application.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

2. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

3. Claims 3, 5, 6-11, 16, and 22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

In claims 3 and 6, the alternative language "flat or bowed" makes these claims and those dependant thereon indefinite.

In claims 5, 10, 16, and 22, the recitation "a rotation-restricting opening" is indefinite for the claims do not define what does the opening restrict from rotating.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Application/Control Number: 10/771,644 Page 3

Art Unit: 3617

5. Claims 1, 2, 4, 12-15, 17-21, 23-31, 39, 43, 44, and 50 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over McCullough in view of Kennison.

McCullough discloses an arm (A) which includes surfaces that engage a watercraft hull. The arm includes a passageway at (K) that is offset from the center of the length of the arm. The manner in which the arm engages the watercraft hull will create a contact surface on the arm that is wider than it is long. The nature of the material used to make the arm (A) is not disclosed by McCullough. Kennison discloses a similar hull support and teaches that the part engaging the hull is made out of wood. In view of these disclosures, it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art to make the arms (A) of McCullough from a wooden material as taught by Kennison, or from a synthetic material dependant on the characteristics desired. Although McCullough only shows two arms, one on each side of the hull, it is obvious that the structure includes several f these arrangements along the length of the hull.

Allowable Subject Matter

6. Claims 32-38, 40-42, 45-49 and 51-54 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Application/Control Number: 10/771,644 Page 4

Art Unit: 3617

Conclusion

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jesús D. Sotelo whose telephone number is 703-308-2563. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon. - Fri. 6:00 AM -2:30 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Samuel J. Morano can be reached on 703-308-0230. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Primary Examiner
Art Unit 3617

CPK 5-6D16 ©

sotelo;jds March 2, 2005